FUBLIC COPY

dentifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

FILE:

WAC 02 126 51973

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 04 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

www.uscis.gov

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner provides residential care for developmentally disabled adults, and seeks to employ the beneficiary as an executive director. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief and additional information.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether the position offered to the beneficiary qualifies as a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(l) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184 (i)(l), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R.§ 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in field of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- 1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- 2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- 3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or

4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B with counsel's brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as an executive director. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes: the I-129 petition with attachment; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence the beneficiary would: develop and maintain a manageable database of company transactions, financial information, and client profiles to make company information centrally accessible and searchable; audit accounts and monitor compliance to corporate performance and activity requirements; implement an internal rehabilitation program for clients; establish standards and procedures for work personnel; plan work schedules to ensure adequate service; inventory supplies and equipment; communicate and coordinate with the administrator and other personnel; act as a liaison officer with the California Office of Regulations; ensure compliance with OSHA, EEO, and HIPAA standards; generate mandatory reports on employee compensation; negotiate preferential relationship terms with suppliers; manage on site prospective client visits and lead closing meetings; and design, formulate, establish, and maintain a company web site that will serve as an information and marketing tool. The petitioner indicates that the beneficiary has the equivalent of a bachelor's degree in business administration based upon his foreign education and is qualified for the offered position. The petitioner does not state, however, that a minimum of a bachelor's degree in any specific discipline is required for entry into the position.

The director found that the offered position did not qualify as a specialty occupation and failed to meet any of the criteria of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, counsel submits a brief, stating that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation as it meets the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The AAO routinely consults the Department of Labor's Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook) for information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The duties of the proffered position are essentially those noted for top executives/general and operations managers. The Handbook notes that the formal education and experience of top executives varies as widely as the nature of their responsibilities. Many top executives have a bachelor's or higher degree in business administration or liberal arts. Other executive positions, however, are filled by promoting experienced, lower level managers. Thus, it is possible to obtain a position as a general or operations manager without a college degree by promotion from within the organization based upon performance alone. It is apparent from the Handbook that a baccalaureate or higher degree, in a specific specialty, is not the minimum requirement for entry into the offered position. The petitioner has failed to establish the first criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner offers no evidence to establish that a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. Further, the duties of the offered position are not so complex or unique that they can be performed only by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. The duties consist of general managerial duties routinely performed by general or operations managers. Educational backgrounds suitable for these pursuits range from degrees in business administration or liberal arts, to less than a baccalaureate level education for those promoted through the ranks based upon experience and performance. The petitioner has not satisfied the second criterion of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

The petitioner offers no evidence to establish that it normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the offered position. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3). Even if this were the case, the proffered position still does not qualify as a specialty occupation. The performance of the duties of the position must involve the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge. *Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 388 (5th Cir. 2000). This position does not. As noted above, the duties of the position are routinely performed in the industry by individuals with educational backgrounds in a wide range of educational disciplines, as well as by those with no college degree at all. There is no requirement for a degree in any specific specialty.

Finally, the nature of the specific duties is not so specialized or complex that knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. Once again, the duties to be performed by the beneficiary are routinely performed by general or operations managers with degrees ranging from business administration or liberal arts, to those having no college degree who received their positions based upon experience and performance alone. The petitioner has failed to establish the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

The petitioner has failed to establish that the offered position meets any of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.